

Michael N. Westheimer (SBN 178938)

[mwestheimer@constangy.com](mailto:mwestheimer@constangy.com)

**CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE LLP**

601 Montgomery Street, Suite 350

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: (415) 918-3000

Attorneys for Defendant

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

STEFAN HYATT,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00315-DJC-JDP

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
FURTHER EXTEND TIME FOR  
DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO  
COMPLAINT**

Complaint Filed: January 24, 2025

Trial: Not set

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff Randy Rieux and  
2 Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company (together the “Parties”), by and through their respective  
3 undersigned counsel of record, that pursuant to Gen. L.R. 143, 144(a), the time for Defendant to respond  
4 to Plaintiff’s Complaint shall be further extended twenty-one (21) days to April 14, 2025. The Parties  
5 previously stipulated to one 28-day extension of the aforementioned time period. This further extension  
6 will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court order.

7  
8 Dated: March 21, 2025

CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE LLP

9  
10 By: /s/ Michael N. Westheimer

Michael N. Westheimer

Attorneys for Defendant

11 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

12  
13  
14 Dated: March 21, 2025

HILDERBRAND MCLEOD & NELSON LLP

15  
16 By: /s/ Gavin Barney (as authorized 3-21-2025)

Anthony S. Petru

Gavin Barney

Attorneys for Plaintiff

17 STEFAN HYATT

18  
19  
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21  
22 Dated: March 21, 2025

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

23 THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA

24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE